UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,270	07/17/2006	Dirk Dobrindt	N81817LPK	7752
	7590 10/29/200 DDAK COMPANY	EXAMINER		
PATENT LEGAL STAFF			SEVERSON, JEREMY R	
343 STATE STREET ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/586,270	DOBRINDT, DIRK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeremy Severson	3653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 June 2008 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	r election requirement. r. ☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/5/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 5 February 2008 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Objections

Claims 5-7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "*preferably* continuous manner" in the fourth line of claim 1 renders the claims indefinite due to the inclusion of the word "preferably" which makes the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired uncertain. For the purpose of expediting prosecution, the claims will be examined as best understood by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,270 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bakoledis (US 6,523,823).

Regarding claim 1, Bakoledis discloses apparatus for the alignment of a stack of sheets, in particular for the feeder of a printing machine, said apparatus comprising at least one pair of trimming elements (3, 4) which can be adjusted at distances relative to each other in a preferably continuous manner in order to accommodate and align the stack between said trimming elements, characterized in that said trimming elements are coupled with each other, for counter-directional motion, so that an automatic stop means 30 preventing an enlargement of the distance between the trimming elements is provided and so that this stop means can be deactivated if required. See, e.g., col. 2, lines 51 et seq.

Regarding claim 2, Bakoledis discloses apparatus as in Claim 1, characterized in that the stop means comprises, only for reducing the distance between the trimming elements, a free-running free-wheel for an axle of a rotating non-driven positive-locking element 17 which can be moved out of its positive-locked state in order to deactivate the stop means.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,270 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

Regarding claim 3, Bakoledis discloses apparatus as in Claim 2, characterized in that the positive-locking element 17 is a gear rolling on a rack.

Claims 1, 2 and 4/2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito (US 2002/0080426).

Regarding claim 1, Ito discloses apparatus for the alignment of a stack of sheets, in particular for the feeder of a printing machine, said apparatus comprising at least one pair of trimming elements (11a, 11b) which can be adjusted at distances relative to each other in a preferably continuous manner in order to accommodate and align the stack between said trimming elements, characterized in that said trimming elements are coupled with each other, for counter-directional motion, so that an automatic stop means 17 preventing an enlargement of the distance between the trimming elements is provided and so that this stop means can be deactivated if required.

Regarding claim 2, Ito discloses apparatus as in Claim 1, characterized in that the stop means comprises, only for reducing the distance between the trimming elements, a free-running free-wheel for an axle P of a rotating non-driven positive-locking element which can be moved out of its positive-locked state in order to deactivate the stop means.

Regarding claim 4/2, Ito discloses apparatus as in Claim 2, characterized in that the positive-locking element can be lifted out of its positive-locking state against a spring 33 force.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4/3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy Severson whose telephone number is (571)272-2209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey, can be reached on 571-272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,270 Page 6

Art Unit: 3653

Examiner, Art Unit 3653 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653